Appendix C-6

Graduation design instructor evaluation form

Specialty: Student:

topic:

pie ce	Ranking and ranking			ıg		Scores for each category				grade	
me al	proje (Full	score)	A	В	С	D	A	В	C	D	8
		1. Data collection and theoretical proof (10)	complete	More complete	Basically complete	difference	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
	Le vel an d	2. Basic concepts and theoretical conditions (10)	Clear and correct	Basically clear Basically correct	Its still unclear Thats right	NK incorrect	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
	qu ali ty of tas	3. Calculation method and calculation results (15)	Correct, more application of computer	Basically correct Small applicatio n	Thats right To be applied	incorrect Not applied	13-15	10-12	7-9	≤6	
	k co m ple	4. Independent insight and application value (5)	Yes, larger	Yes, generally	Yes, no or no, generally	No, no	5	4	3	≤2	
fin ge r lea d	tio n 50	5. Instructions and drawings (10)	The hierarchy is clear, correct, careful and neat, and the foreign language summary is correct	Basically correct, serious and clear	Shangzheng, Shangzheng, basic correctness	There are many mistakes, seriousness , incorrect	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
Te ac h tea ch	In de pe	6. Programme development and selection (10)	independently accomplish And correct	Basic independe nce Complete d correctly	Can independentl y complete basic correctness	It cant be done independentl y and its full of errors	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
er 40%	nd ent wo rki	7. Standardization and manual use (8)	skilled	Basic proficienc y	tolerablenes s	Not really	8	7	6	≤5	
	ng abi lit y 30	8. Programming, analysis and processing of computer results, reading of domestic and foreign literature (12)	Be proficient and proactive in looking up and digesting references	Basic proficienc y in looking up and quoting	Shangke Shangneng Check references	Not really Check references	11-12	9-10	7-8	≤6	
	***	9. Discipline (10)	good	preferably	same as	difference	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
	or k att itu de	10. Take good care of public property and maintain a good environment (5)	good	preferably	same as	difference	5	4	3	≤2	
	20	11. Sense of responsibility and initiative (5)	stubborn	preferably	same as	difference	5	4	3	≤2	

	comment:
finger	
lead	
Teach	
teach	
er	
com	
ment	
caref	
ul	
meani	
ng See	Scores (percentage) X_1
	Instructor (Signature):
	YTD

Graduation design reviewer evaluation form

Specialty: Student:

topic:

com pone sco	Rank and		rank	king		Sc	ores for e	ach catego	ory	grade
nt bloc pro	ject ill score)	A	В	С	D	A	В	С	D	grade
•	1. Task completion (10)	All completed	Basically completed	The main part is completed	hang in the air	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
	2. Basic concepts and theoretical arguments (20)	Clear, correct	Basically clear Basically correct	It is clear and correct	Incorrect, not applied	18-20	15-17	12-14	≤11	
timber material	3. Calculation method and calculation results (30)	Correct, more application of computer	Basically correct Small application	Not correct, not applied	Incorrect, not applicable	26-30	21-25	16-20	≤15	
read human being	4. Independent insight and application value (10)	Yes, larger	Yes, generally	Yes, no or No, general	No, no	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
30%	5. Instructions and drawings (20)	The level is clear, correct and neat, and the foreign language summary is correct	Basically correct, more serious, more correct	Shangzheng, Shangzheng, basic correctness	There are many mistakes, not serious, not correct	18-20	15-17	12-14	≤11	
	6. Difficulty of the topic and workload (10)	Hard, full	Moderate, fuller	Easier, fuller	Easy, not full	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤5	
	comment:									

comm
ent
read
Teach
teache
r
comm
ent
carefu
l
meani
ng
See

Scores (percentage) X_2

Reviewer (signature):	
	YTD

Graduation design defense group evaluation form

Specialty: Student:

topic:

com pone	Rank and		rank	ing		Sco	ores for ea	ach catego	ory	grade
I DIOC I	project (Full score)	A	В	С	D	A	В	С	D	grade
anawar	1. Report on the situation (20)	Concise, clear and focused	Basically clear The focus is not strong enough	ts still clear, but theres a mistake	The concept is unclear There are many errors	18-20	15-17	12-14	≤11	
answer	2. Answers to questions (50)	Correct and proficient	Basically correct	Its right, its wrong	Basically incorrect	43-50	35-42	27-34	≤ 26	
Staff 30%	3. Instructions and drawings (20)	The overall impression is serious, neat and correct	More	Shang jianzi	unseriousnes s	18-20	15-17	12-14	≤11	
	4. Independent insight and application value (10)	Yes, larger	Yes, generally	Yes, no or no, generally	No, no	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	_

argue	questions (50)	proficient	correct	wrong	incorrect	43-50	35-42	27-34	≤ 26	
entrust Staff 30%	3. Instructions and drawings (20)	The overall impression is serious, neat and correct	More serious	Shang jianzi	unseriousnes s	18-20	15-17	12-14	≤11	
	4. Independent insight and application value (10)	Yes, larger	Yes, generally	Yes, no or no, generally	No, no	9-10	7-8	5-6	≤4	
	comment:									
answe r										
argue small										
group meani										
ng See										
	a .									
	Scores (percer									
	Responsible p	erson (signa	ature):							

YTD

Comprehensive evaluation form of undergraduate graduation design of Hunan City University

Specialty: Student:

topic::

Mid-term	faculty	Reviewing			
check-ups	adviser	teachers	Response	Overall	Equivalent
Recommend	Recommen	Recommen	score	results	grade
ed grades	ded grades	ded grades			

Academic Committee of the College:	
	Responsible person (signature):
	Responsible person (signature).
College (Official Seal) Year Month Day	